DOCKET FILE COPY ORIGINAL

Dyl we , ,

Before the FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION Washington, DC 20554

R	4		grana.	25/8/3	\.	ş	1 - 2000; 1 - 2000;	, ne
---	---	--	--------	--------	----	---	------------------------	------

In the Matter of)	1 May 17 of 1898
In the Matter of)	FEMTRAL CHROMORHUSSIAN COMMISSION
Petition of U S WEST Communications, Inc.)	CC Docket No. 97-149
for Waiver of Sections 61.45(d), 61.46(d) and)	
69.152 of the Commission's Rules)	

PETITION FOR WAIVER

In this Petition, U S WEST Communications, Inc. ("U S WEST") seeks a temporary waiver of Sections 61.45(d), 61.46(d) and 69.152 of the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission") rules to enable U S WEST to impose End User Common Line ("EUCL") charges on multi-line business ("MLB") customers in excess of the amounts prescribed by Section 69.152 (without reducing its Carrier Common Line ("CCL") charges as required by Section 61.46(d)) and to make a corresponding exogenous cost adjustment to its price cap index ("PCI"). This waiver is necessary to enable U S WEST to file a tariff to recover from MLB customers the amounts (as determined by the Commission) that U S WEST underrecovered from those customers during the period July 1 through December 31, 1997. Once that tariff takes effect, U S WEST will proceed to refund to the interexchange carriers ("IXC") CCL charges with respect to that same period, as directed by the Commission.

I. BACKGROUND

The Commission's rules require price cap local exchange carriers ("LEC") to allocate the Common Line revenue requirement by projecting their Base Factor Portion ("BFP") for the tariff year; the per line BFP forms the basis for the EUCL

charges that the LEC will assess, subject to the limits on such charges imposed by the Commission's rules. The balance of the Common Line revenue requirement was then recovered through CCL charges.'

The Commission's rules specify no method for projecting BFP, and the price cap LECs have utilized several methods to make these forecasts. U S WEST has consistently forecasted its BFP by reference to its budget for the coming year. Though its method had received no challenge prior to this proceeding, U S WEST had joined other LECs in asking the Commission to cease the use of forecasted BFP, in favor of historical data, which would remove from this process the uncertainty inherent in any forecast. The Commission declined to move away from forecasted BFP to calculate the EUCL-CCL allocation.

In the instant proceeding, AT&T Corp. and MCI Telecommunications

Corporation challenged the price cap LECs' BFP forecasts, complaining that the

forecasts did not track historic trends. After investigating the matter, the

Commission concluded that a number of LECs, including U S WEST, had under-

Effective January 1, 1998, the Commission implemented yet a third charge to cover Common Line costs, the Presubscribed Interexchange Carrier Charge. Because we are here concerned with rates charged in 1997, this change has no effect on the issues here.

 $^{^2}$ See, e.g., Comments of U S WEST, CC Docket No. 94-1, filed Jan. 11, 1996 at 26-27.

In the Matter of Price Cap Performance Review for Local Exchange Carriers, CC Docket No. 94-1, Access Charge Reform, CC Docket No. 96-262, Fourth Report and Order in CC Docket No. 94-1 and Second Report and Order in CC Docket No. 96-262, 8 Comm. Reg. (P&F) 119, 156 ¶ 172, rel. May 21, 1997; appeals pending sub noms. United States Telephone Association v. FCC, Nos. 97-1469, et al. (D.C. Cir.).

forecasted their BFPs over the years. The Commission thus determined that these LECs' BFP forecasts were unreasonable, and it prescribed a BFP forecast for each of them, using an autoregressive method based on historic BFP data. Each LEC was to use this prescribed BFP to recalculate their EUCL charges, CCL charges, and PICCs for tariff year 1997/98. Despite that, the Commission did not specifically authorize the LECs to charge the recalculated EUCL rates for July-December, 1997.

II. THE COMMISSION SHOULD WAIVE THE APPLICABLE PROVISIONS OF ITS RULES TO ENABLE U S WEST TO COLLECT, OVER A SIX-MONTH PERIOD, THE AMOUNTS IT UNDERCHARGED END USERS, AS DETERMINED BY THE COMMISSION

The BFP issue involves no disallowance; it raises no challenge to the legitimacy of U S WEST's Common Line revenue requirement. The issue is strictly one of the appropriate apportionment of that revenue requirement between end users and IXCs. Yet, if the Commission's <u>Refund Order stands</u>, it will have the effect of disallowing a substantial portion of U S WEST's Common Line revenue requirement, unless U S WEST is allowed to collect from its end users the amounts it would have charged them had its BFP forecast matched the Commission's

⁴ In the Matter of 1997 Annual Access Tariff Filings, CC Docket No. 97-149, Memorandum Opinion and Order, FCC 97-403, rel. Dec. 1, 1997 ¶ 49 ("Refund Order"), pets. for recon. pending.

⁵ <u>Id.</u> ¶ 83.

^{6 &}lt;u>Id.</u> ¶ 84.

⁷ Bell Atlantic has sought reconsideration of that portion of the Commission's Refund Order; U S WEST filed Comments supporting that request. Bell Atlantic

subsequent prescription. U S WEST's proposal will rectify that deficiency.8

U S WEST proposes to balance the books by temporarily increasing the EUCL charge to its MLB end users by the amount it understated that charge (determined as prescribed by the Commission). That is, in each state, U S WEST will add to its MLB EUCL rate an amount equal to the difference between the rate determined as prescribed by the Commission and the rate filed by U S WEST in its annual access filing. The incremental charge will remain in effect for six months from the date it takes effect. Once the tariff change to implement the temporary charge becomes effective, U S WEST will make refunds to the IXCs as prescribed by the Commission.

To implement its proposal, U S WEST requires a waiver of Section 69.152, which limits the MLB EUCL to one-twelfth of the EUCL annual revenue requirement, up to a maximum of \$9. The temporary increase proposed here will move U S WEST's MLB EUCL above the limits prescribed by Section 69.152, as detailed below:

Petition for Reconsideration filed Dec. 31, 1997. Comments of U S WEST filed Jan. 21, 1998 ("U S WEST Comments").

⁸ U S WEST's Comments were filed in support of Bell Atlantic's Petition for Reconsideration, which asks the Commission to reconsider its decision to prescribe per line BFP and order refunds.

The incremental charge will thus remain in effect for a short period beyond the 1997-98 tariff year.

7/1 MLB	7/1 EUCL	Under-	1/1 MLB	Temporary
EUCL	(FCC) ¹⁰	recovery	EUCL	MLB EUCL
\$6.79	\$7.64	\$.85	\$9.00	\$9.85
\$8.01	\$9.00	\$.99	\$9.00	\$9.99
\$4.97	\$5.59	\$.62	\$7.40	\$8.02
\$6.42	\$7.23	\$.81	\$9.00	\$9.81
\$7.13	\$8.02	\$.89	\$9.00	\$9.89
\$5.56	\$6.26	\$.70	\$7.45	\$8.15
\$7.51	\$8.44	\$.93	\$9.00	\$9.93
\$5.78	\$6 .50	\$.72	\$8.69	\$9.41
\$6.61	\$7.44	\$.83	\$8.90	\$9.73
\$7.84	\$8.82	\$.98	\$9.00	\$9.98
\$6.39	\$7.19	\$.80	\$8.98	\$9.78
\$6.01	\$6.76	\$.75	\$9.00	\$ 9.75
\$6.44	\$7.25	\$.81	\$8.69	\$9.50
\$5.68	\$6.39	\$.71	\$7.96	\$8.67
\$9.00	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$0	\$9.00
	EUCL \$6.79 \$8.01 \$4.97 \$6.42 \$7.13 \$5.56 \$7.51 \$5.78 \$6.61 \$7.84 \$6.39 \$6.01 \$6.44 \$5.68	EUCL (FCC) ¹⁰ \$6.79 \$7.64 \$8.01 \$9.00 \$4.97 \$5.59 \$6.42 \$7.23 \$7.13 \$8.02 \$5.56 \$6.26 \$7.51 \$8.44 \$5.78 \$6.50 \$6.61 \$7.44 \$7.84 \$8.82 \$6.39 \$7.19 \$6.01 \$6.76 \$6.44 \$7.25 \$5.68 \$6.39	EUCL (FCC) ¹⁰ recovery \$6.79 \$7.64 \$.85 \$8.01 \$9.00 \$.99 \$4.97 \$5.59 \$.62 \$6.42 \$7.23 \$.81 \$7.13 \$8.02 \$.89 \$5.56 \$6.26 \$.70 \$7.51 \$8.44 \$.93 \$5.78 \$6.50 \$.72 \$6.61 \$7.44 \$.83 \$7.84 \$8.82 \$.98 \$6.39 \$7.19 \$.80 \$6.01 \$6.76 \$.75 \$6.44 \$7.25 \$.81 \$5.68 \$6.39 \$.71	EUCL (FCC) ¹⁰ recovery EUCL \$6.79 \$7.64 \$.85 \$9.00 \$8.01 \$9.00 \$.99 \$9.00 \$4.97 \$5.59 \$.62 \$7.40 \$6.42 \$7.23 \$.81 \$9.00 \$7.13 \$8.02 \$.89 \$9.00 \$5.56 \$6.26 \$.70 \$7.45 \$7.51 \$8.44 \$.93 \$9.00 \$5.78 \$6.50 \$.72 \$8.69 \$6.61 \$7.44 \$.83 \$8.90 \$7.84 \$8.82 \$.98 \$9.00 \$6.39 \$7.19 \$.80 \$8.98 \$6.01 \$6.76 \$.75 \$9.00 \$6.44 \$7.25 \$.81 \$8.69 \$5.68 \$6.39 \$.71 \$7.96

Because U S WEST will not reduce its CCL charges in conjunction with these increases, implementation of its proposal also requires a waiver of Section 61.46(d), which prescribes the method for calculating the CCL, in part by reference to the level of the EUCL.

Finally, U S WEST requires a waiver of Section 61.45(d), which prescribes the types of exogenous cost adjustments a LEC may make to its PCI. U S WEST will need to make a temporary upward exogenous adjustment to its Common Line PCI to accommodate the increased EUCL charges it contemplates filing. It will reverse that adjustment once the temporary EUCL charges have expired.

Absent this temporary increase (or some other suitable recovery mechanism), implementing the refunds ordered by the Commission would deprive U S WEST of Common Line revenue it is unquestionably entitled to receive. The Commission's

 $^{^{\}mbox{\tiny 10}}$ That is, the July 1, 1997 MLB EUCL recalculated to reflect the Commission's per line BFP prescription.

access charge rules should not be interpreted to inflict a multi-million dollar penalty on U S WEST, simply because it failed to guess correctly what sort of forecasting method the Commission would find acceptable. The Commission should not allow the process to produce so unfair a result."

Bell Atlantic has requested reconsideration of the Commission's BFP prescription and its decision to order refunds. If the Commission were to reconsider its decision to prescribe BFPs, or its decision to require refunds, this matter would become moot, and U S WEST would have no need of this waiver.

There is, however, yet a third alternative. In supporting Bell Atlantic's Petition for Reconsideration, U S WEST demonstrated the effect of adding more-current data to the Commission's autoregression. Doing so produces a radically lower estimate of U S WEST's per line BFP for the 1997-98 tariff year (\$6.93, versus the Commission-prescribed figure of \$7.38); adding in data for 1989 and 1990 produces an estimate of \$6.96.

U S WEST does not believe either of these figures is a valid estimate of its per line BFP for the 1997-98 tariff year. Given the instability of the data, we do not believe any autoregression can produce a valid estimate of U S WEST's per line BFP. But because these autoregressions incorporate more data points, they are unquestionably more accurate than the autoregression the Commission used to prescribe U S WEST's per line BFP.

¹¹ The result is particularly unfair, given that the Commission's forecast of U S WEST's per line BFP appears to have been no more accurate than U S WEST's. See U S WEST's Comments at 2-3.

Thus, if the Commission believes an autoregression is the best means of predicting U S WEST's per line BFP in these circumstances, either of these figures would be a suitable substitute for the existing prescription. That action would reduce any refund liability U S WEST might otherwise have, and it would reduce U S WEST's concomitant need to recover that refund from its MLB end users.

U S WEST would also increase its CCL rates to make the process revenue neutral. As shown in Attachment 1, these changes greatly reduce the impact on end users; indeed, the net effect is a reduction from the current MLB EUCL in seven states—even taking into account the temporary increase—and significantly smaller increases in the remaining states.

For the reasons stated, U S WEST respectfully asks the Commission to grant the waiver requested herein. If the Commission believes the recovery mechanism proposed here is inappropriate, U S WEST respectfully asks the Commission to provide guidance as to the appropriate mechanism for recovery of this shortfall.

Respectfully submitted,

US WEST COMMUNICATIONS, INC.

By:

Robert B. McKenna

Richard A. Karre

Suite 700

1020 19th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

(303) 672-2791

Of Counsel, Dan L. Poole Its Attorneys

February 2, 1998

Attachment 1

	A	В	\mathbf{C}	D	E	F	\mathbf{G}
	7/1 MLB	7/1 EUCL	Under-	1/1 MLB	Temp	1/1	Net
	EUCL	(Revised)	recovery	EUCL (Re	v) ² EUCL EU	ICL Ef	fect
State		,	(C=B-A)		(E=C+D)		(G=E-F)
AZ	\$6.79	\$7.18	\$.39	\$8.88	\$9.27	\$9.00	\$.27
CO	\$8.01	\$8.46	\$.45	\$9.00	\$9.45	\$9.00	\$.45
IA	\$4.97	\$5.25	\$.28	\$7.06	\$7.34	\$7.40	(\$.06)
ID (North)	\$6.42	\$6.79	\$.37	\$9.00	\$9.37	\$9.00	\$.37
ID (South)	\$7.13	\$7.54	\$.41	\$9.00	\$9.41	\$9.00	\$.41
MN	\$5.56	\$5.88	\$.32	\$7.07	\$7.39	\$7.45	(\$.06)
MT	\$7.51	\$7.93	\$.42	\$9.00	\$9.42	\$9.00	\$.42
ND	\$5.78	\$6.11	\$.33	\$8.30	\$8.63	\$8.69	(\$.06)
NE	\$6.61	\$6.99	\$.38	\$8.4 5	\$8.83	\$8.90	(\$.07)
NM	\$7.84	\$8.29	\$.45	\$9.00	\$9.45	\$9.00	\$.45
OR	\$6.39	\$6.75	\$.36	\$8.54	\$8.90	\$8.98	(\$.08)
SD	\$6.01	\$6.35	\$.34	\$8.68	\$9.02	\$9.00	\$.02
UT	\$6.44	\$6.81	\$.37	\$8.25	\$8.62	\$8.69	(\$.07)
WA	\$5.68	\$6.00	\$.32	\$7.57	\$7.89	\$ 7.96	(\$.07)
WY	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$ 0	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$9.00	\$ 0

Reflecting a revised autoregression including 1997 results.

² Reflecting a revised autoregression including 1997 results.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I, Kelseau Powe, Jr., do hereby certify that on this 2nd day of February, 1998.

I have caused a copy of the foregoing **PETITION FOR WAIVER** to be served, via first class United States Mail, postage pre-paid, upon the persons listed on the attached service list.

Kelseau Powe, Jr.

^{*}Served via hand-delivery

*William E. Kennard Federal Communications Commission Room 814 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Gloria Tristani Federal Communications Commission Room 826 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Michael K. Powell Federal Communications Commission Room 844 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *Harold Furchtgott-Roth Federal Communications Commission Room 802 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Susan P. Ness Federal Communications Commission Room 832 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *A. Richard Metzger, Jr. Federal Communications Commission Room 500 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*James D. Schlichting Federal Communications Commission Room 518 1919 M Street, N. W. Washington, DC 20554 *Judith A. Nitsche Federal Communications Commission Room 518 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554

*Wanda M. Harris Federal Communications Commission Room 518 1919 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20554 *International Transcription Services, Inc. 1231 20th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036 Alan Buzacott MCI Telecommunications Corporation 1801 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W. Washington, DC 20006 Gail L. Polivy GTE Service Corporation Suite 1200 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036

Robert M. Lynch Durward D. Dupre Michael J. Zpevak Southwestern Bell Telephone Company Room 2403 One Bell Plaza Dallas, TX 75202 George Petrutsas

Eric Fishman
Paul J. Feldman
Fletcher, Heald & Hildreth, PLC
11th Floor
1300 North 17th Street
Rosslyn, VA 22209

Emmanuel Staurulakis John Staurulakis, Inc. 6315 Seabrook Road Seabrook, MD 20706 CONCORD

PRTC

Jay C. Keithley Norina Moy Sprint Local Telephone Companies 11th Floor 1850 M Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20036-5807

Joe D. Edge Tina M. Pidgeon Drinker, Biddle & Reath, LLP Suite 901 901 15th Street, N.W. Washington, DC 20005 M. Robert Sutherland Richard M. Sbaratta Rebecca M. Lough BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. Suite 1700 1155 Peachtree Street, N.E. Atlanta, GA 30309-3610

Edward Shakin
Edward D. Young III
Michael E. Glover
Bell Atlantic Telephone Companies
Eighth Floor
1310 North Court House Road
Arlington, VA 22201

Wendy Blueming Southern New England Telephone Company 227 Church Street New Haven, CT 06510 Nancy C. Woolf Pacific Bell and Nevada Bell Room 1529 140 New Montgomery Street San Francisco, CA 94105 Michael S. Pabian Ameritech Room 4H82 2000 West Ameritech Center Drive Hoffman Estates, IL 60196-1025

Michael J. Shortley III Frontier Telephone Companies 180 South Clinton Avenue Rochester, NY 14646 Robert A. Mazer
Albert Shuldiner
Allison S. Yamamoto
Vinson & Elkins, LLP
1455 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20004-1008

Benjamin H. Dickens, Jr.
Blooston, Mordkofsky, Jackson & Dickens
Suite 300
2120 L Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20037

CHILLICOTHE

Sandra K. Williams Sprint Corporation POB 11315 Kansas City, MO 64112 Mark C. Rosenblum Peter H. Jacoby Judy Sello AT&T Corp. Room 324511 295 North Maple Avenue Basking Ridge, NJ 07920

(CC97149c-DK-doc) Last Update

ERRY BEST COURIERS, LTD.

30 M St., N.W., Washington, D.C. 20036, Suite 740 -- 202-293-4964

TO: :OM:

ount Number ሳሳተያያያ (202)429-3129 20036

warrant that this shipment is packed adequately to insure safe sportation with ordinary care in handling. You warrant that your kage is properly marked and addressed for delivery.

liability for delays not caused by your negligence is limited to afund of your delivery charges. We will not be liable for loss nage or delay caused by events that we cannot reasonably see including, but not limited to acts of God, perils of transporon, weather conditions, mechanical delays, acts of war, strikes, commotion, or acts or omissions of public authoriites with acor apparent authority.

WILL NOT BE LIABLE IN ANY CASE FOR INCIDENTAL, NSEQUENTIAL, OR SPECIAL DAMAGES, WHETHER OR T WE KNEW SUCH DAMAGES MIGHT BE INCURRED.

20624

PO BOX (Required)

Federal Communications Commission c/o Mellon Bank Three Mellon Bank Center 525 William Penn Way 27th Floor Room 153-2713 Pittsburgh, Pennsylvania

Your Reference Information 0 474000		·
Check appropriate box for return of dar include carrier and account number. o Hold for Pickup Hand-Deliver	te-stamped copy. F	or overnight,
Overnight Carrier	Your Account Number	
For Office Use Only: CTN#		
Received at Berry Best By:	Date	Time

THE BACK OF THIS DOCUMENT CONTAINS AN ARTIFICAL WATERMARK - HOLD AT AN ANGLE TO VIEW

#PO384387# #102100918# 806 2004068#

READ INSTRUCTIONS CAREFULLY BEFORE PROCEEDING	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION REMITTANCE ADVICE		APP	APPROVED BY OMB 3060-06		
BEFORE PROCEDURO			SPECIAL L	ISE		
	0.0	E NO OF	FCC USE	ONLY		
(1) LOCKBOX # 358140	PAG	ENO CF		e de la companya de		
(2) PAYER NAME(if paying by credit card, enter name exact		PAYER INFORMATION	I/2) TOTAL AMOUN	T PAID (dollars and cents)		
U S WEST Communicati			5,665.0			
(4) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 1 Suite 700						
(5) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2	·					
1020 19th Street, N	.W					
(6) CITY		DC	(8) ZIP CODE 20036			
Washington (9) DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		(10) COUNTRY CODE (if not in U.S.A.)	<u> </u>			
303-672-2791						
		NAME ARE DIFFEREN JSE CONTINUATION S			1B	
	SECTION B - A	PPLICANT INFORMATION		oran roo-o)		
(11) APPLICANT NAME(if paying by credit card, enter name	exactly as it appears on your card)					
(12) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 1						
		·				
(13) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2						
(14) CITY		(15) STATE	(16) ZIP CODE			
			<u> </u>			
(17) DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		(18) COUNTRY CODE (if not in U.S.A.)				
COMPLETE SECTION C FOR EAC	H SERVICE, IF MORE	BOXES ARE NEEDED. US	E CONTINU	ATION SHEETS	(FORM 159-0	
	SECTION C -	PAYMENT INFORMATION				
(18A) FCC CALL SIGNATHER ID (20A) PAYMENT	TYPE CODE (PTC)	QUANTITY (22A) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLO	CK 20A	FCC USE ONLY	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
(23A) FCC CODE 1		(24A) FCC CODE 2		L		
(19B) FCC CALL SIGNOTHER ID (20B) PAYMENT	TYPE CODE (PTC) (218)	QUANTITY (22B) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLO	CK 208	FCC USE ONLY		
(218) FCC CODE 1		\$ (24B) FCC CODE 2		<u> </u>		
(19C) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID (20C) PAYMENT	TYPE CODE (PTC) (21C)	QUANTITY (22C) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLO	CK 20C	FCC USE ONLY		
(23C) FCC CODE 1		\$ (24C) FCC CODE 2		<u> </u>		
assiff of Cobe (140,700 (302 2				
(190) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID (200) PAYMENT	TYPE CODE (PTC) (210)	QUANTITY (22D) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLD	CK 200	FCC USE ONLY		
LL		s				
(230) FCC CODE 1		(24D) FCC CODE 2				
SECTION D	- TAXPAYER	NEODMATI	ON (R	EOUIRE	77	
SECTION D	- IAAFA ILI	126 COMPLETE THIS BLOCK ONLY IF APPLIC		PERENT PROM PAVER NAME IN A	3	
PAYER TIN OLO /	0 0 7 0 0 0	APPLICANT TIN				
0 8 4	0 2 7 3 8 0 0 SECTION	E - CERTIFICATION		}}		
127) CERTIFICATION STATEMENT 1. Richard Groziei				d avenue info		
(PRINT NAME)	, cerary unde	er penalty of perjury that the	curyoing an	a supporting into	าเสนเบท	
are true and correct to the best of my	knowledge, infomation	and beliefSIGNATURE	Men X	uge-		
(28) MASTERCARDAISA ACCU		T CARD PAYMENT INFORMA	TION	ON DATE:		
MASTERCARD						
			MONTH	VEAR		
VISA I hereby authorize the FCC to charge my VISA or		IZED SIGNATURE	DAT			
for the service/sites/firstione(s) herein describ	-					

SEE PUBLIC BURDEN ESTIMATE ON REVERSE

FCC FORM 159 JULY 1997 (REVISED)

BEFORE PROCEEDING	FEDERAL COM	MUNICATIONS COMMISSION	APPROVED BY OMB 3060-0589			
BEFORE PROCEEDING		TANCE ADVICE	SPECIAL USE			
		E NO OF				
(1) LOCKBOX # 358140	PAGE	E NO OF				
2) PAYER NAME(if paying by credit card, enter name exact		PAYER INFORMATION	(3) TOTAL AMOUNT PAID (dol	lare and cents)		
U S WEST Communicat			5,665.00	ara dani carita)		
(4) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 1 Suite 700 (5) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2						
(s) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2 1020 19th Street, N	.W.					
(6) CITY		(7) STATE DC	(8) ZIP CODE 20036			
Washington (9) DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		(10) COUNTRY CODE (if not in U.S.A.)				
303-672-2791	TUE ADDITIONT	NAME ARE DIFFEREN	T COMPLETES	COTION D		
IF PAYER NAME AND	ONE APPLICANT, L	NAME ARE DIFFERENUSE CONTINUATION S	HEETS (FORM	IS9-C)		
(11) APPLICANT NAME(if paying by credit card, enter name	SECTION B - A	APPLICANT INFORMATION				
(11) APPLICANT NAME(II paying by credit card, enter name	resectly as it appears on your carus			!		
(12) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 1						
(13) STREET ADDRESS LINE NO. 2						
			•			
(14) CITY		(15) STATE	(16) ZIP CODE			
(17) DAYTIME TELEPHONE NUMBER (Include area code)		(18) COUNTRY CODE (if not in U.S.A.)				
COLOR ETE SECTION O FOR FAC	NI CEDIUCE IE MODE	POVES ARE REEDED IN	CE CONTINUES INTO	CUEETS (EADM 150.)		
COMPLETE SECTION C FOR EAC		PAYMENT INFORMATION		SHEETS (FURM 1994		
(18A) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID (20A) PAYMENT		QUANTITY (22A) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLO		ONLY TO A SECOND TO A SECOND TO		
(23A) FCC CODE 1		\$ (24A) FCC CODE 2	<u>L</u>			
(198) FCC CALL SIGNOTHER ID (208) PAYMEN	IT TYPE CODE (PTC) (218)	QUANTITY (22B) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLO	DCK 208 FCC USE (SMLY .		
(23B) FCC CODE 1		(24B) FCC CODE 2				
I MAN DAVIDE	Lace and the same	The second of th	Fog utr			
(19C) FCC CALL SIGNOTHER ID (20C) PAYMEN	IT TYPE CODE (PTC) (21C)	(22C) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLO	OCK 20C FCC USE (ONLY		
(23C) FCC CODE 1		(24C) FCC CODE 2				
(19D) FCC CALL SIGN/OTHER ID (20D) PAYMEN	NT TYPE CODE (PTC) (21D)	D) QUANTITY (220) FEE DUE FOR (PTC) IN BLC	OCK 20D FCC USE	RAII V		
(18D) FOC CALL SIGNOTHER ID	THE CODE IT TO	4		UNIC 1		
(23D) FCC CODE 1		(24D) FCC CODE 2	·			
CECTION D	TAYDAYE	2 INCODMATI	AN INCO	ייחרה		
SECTION D	- TAXPAYER	R INFORMATION INFORMATION IN THE PROPERTY OF APPELL	ON (REQUESTITIS DIFFERENT FROM			
DAVER TIN		APPLICANT TIN		TITI		
0 8 4	0 2 7 3 8 0 0 SECTION	NE - CERTIFICATION				
(27) CERTIFICATION STATEMENT 1, RICHARD (FRINT NAME)		er penalty of perjury that the	foregoing and suppo	orting information		
are true and correct to the best of m	y knowledge, infomation	and beliefSIGNATURE	hullys			
(78) MASTERCARO/VISA ACC		IT CARD PAYMENT INFORMA	TION EXPIRATION DATE:			
MASTERCARD MASTERCARD MASTERCARD						
VISA I hereby authorize the FCC to Charge my VISA or		RIZED SIGNATURE	MONTH YEAR DATE			

SEE PUBLIC BURDEN ESTIMATE ON REVERSE

FCC FORM 159 JULY 1997 (REVISED)